Unplanned explosions at munitions sites (UEMS) are a significant safety concern for governments and a major security challenge for the international community. The Small Arms Survey has documented more than 500 such incidents over the 35-year period from 1979 to 2013. Analysis of this data appears in the forthcoming Handbook—Unplanned Explosions at Munitions Sites: Excess Stockpiles as Liabilities rather than Assets—with many helpful tables, figures, maps, and annexes. Explosions of this nature have occurred in 100 countries (see Map 1). They have resulted in thousands of deaths, tens of thousands of injuries, hundreds of thousands of people being displaced, tens of millions of dollars of clean-up costs, and possibly hundreds of millions of dollars spent on replacement stocks. Such resources could have been invested more productively. In some cases, the explosions have even resulted in the arrest and removal of government ministers, civilian officials, and military officers.

UEMS speak to a larger problem than the damage generated by a single conflagration. The incidents indicate a troubling mindset of many policy-makers toward appropriate levels of stocks and dangerous quantities of surplus. These events occur in large part because too many states view their stockpiles of munitions as assets rather than liabilities, regardless of the materiel’s age or its storage conditions.

Identifying and destroying surplus stock should be an integral stage of life cycle of munitions management. When munitions are stored with no regard for their quantity, quality, or safe-keeping, oversight suffers. In such conditions, they lend themselves to possibly questionable transfers and unintentional or unauthorized diversion.

The Handbook serves three primary purposes. First, it strives to support best practice by explaining the scale and scope of the challenge that policy-makers face and to encourage states to manage their stockpiles effectively. Second, the study is intended to serve as a reference tool. For example, detailed profiles review 37 actors undertaking UEMS-related activities (see Figure 2). And third, the book serves as a training tool.

Incident Reporting Template

The UEMS Incident Reporting Template (IRT, see Figure 1) is provided to promote accurate record-keeping and the sharing of systematized data.

Better and more complete information on each UEMS incident is needed to improve prevention efforts. The analysis of global accident data offers two significant contributions potentially. First, increased awareness of the frequency of these events can serve to reduce the stigma associated with them and, consequently, should encourage authorities to improve their practices regarding their physical security and stockpile management (PSSM). Second, the analysis of global data can reveal trends or patterns in UEMS events which may improve the ability to identify those conditions that may increase their occurrence.

Over the past 35 years, the bulk of UEMS media coverage has failed to address several key issues, yet reports which are investigative in nature are rarely released to the public. Media reports, the most prevalent source of information, may provide timely details about these events. Typically, the media focuses on casualties and damage to property or infrastructure and provides some initial observations and speculations on the causes of the event.

States are typically reluctant to release investigative reports. To justify this, for example, they may cite security concerns about releasing strategic information related to munitions holdings or legal/liability obstacles facing individuals or institutions as reasons to redact information.
### Figure 1. The UEMS Incident Reporting Template

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. <strong>When?</strong> (When did the UEMS incident occur?)</th>
<th>2. <strong>Where?</strong> (Where did the UEMS incident occur?)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date</strong> (yyyy/mm/dd)</td>
<td><strong>Country</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hour</strong> (hh:mm) [using 24-hour clock]</td>
<td><strong>City or town</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weather conditions</strong></td>
<td><strong>Site/location name</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e.g. temperature °C, light, wind, rain, lightning)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 3. **Who?** (Who owns or manages the site and the contents on it?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.1. Who owns or manages the site?</th>
<th>3.2. What type of facility housed the munitions?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Owner</strong></td>
<td><strong>Status of storage site?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ state</td>
<td>□ permanent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ non-state</td>
<td>□ temporary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>manager (if different)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Details</strong></td>
<td><strong>What types of activity took place there?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e.g. type)</td>
<td>□ storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ police</td>
<td>□ loading/unloading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ military</td>
<td>□ processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ private company</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ foreign (e.g. peacekeeping force)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ armed group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ other (e.g. state companies), specify:</td>
<td><strong>What was the design of the storage facility?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ other (e.g. criminal gang), specify:</td>
<td>□ purpose-built storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ unknown</td>
<td>□ non-purpose-built storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ dump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 3.3. What munitions were stored there?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Type of material or munitions</strong></th>
<th><strong>Quantity/measurement</strong> (total estimate, providing any data available)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ aircraft</td>
<td>□ quantity (in number)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ cluster</td>
<td>□ weight (in tonnes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ mines</td>
<td>□ value (indicate currency)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ SALW*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ armour and artillery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ explosives and pyrotechnics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ naval</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4. **Why?** (Why did the UEMS incident occur?)

(e.g. degradation of ammunition; poor storage or poor infrastructure; material being mishandled or dropped; external, environmental events (such as floods or fires); poor security; poor working conditions)

---

*Small arms and light weapons
## 5. What? (What happened as a result of the explosion?)

### 5.1 How large was the affected area?

- **Blast radius (km)**
  - (distance of pressure expanding outwards from explosion)

### 5.2 Who was affected by the explosion?

- **Fatalities (total)**
  - □ yes □ no □ unknown
  - If yes, no. of facility fatalities
  - no. of civilian, non-staff fatalities

- **Injuries (total)**
  - □ yes □ no □ unknown
  - If yes, no. of facility staff injuries
  - no. of civilian, non-staff injuries

### 5.3 What infrastructure was damaged or destroyed in the explosion?

- **Type of infrastructure damaged**
  - (selecting all that apply)
  - □ schools □ housing □ health services
  - □ transport hub □ other, specify:

- **Total cost of damages**
  - (indicate currency)

**Comments**

### 5.4 What are the other consequences of a UEMS?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Government response</th>
<th>Compensation</th>
<th>Total cost of compensation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ safety investigation □ legal investigation</td>
<td>□ yes □ no □ n/a</td>
<td>If yes, how many families received compensation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Political impact</strong> (e.g. senior officials being reprimanded, demoted, convicted, or jailed)</td>
<td><strong>Other impacts</strong> (e.g. environmental, economic, social, or health)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments**

### 6. How? (How did the state and international community respond?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Was an emergency-plan response implemented?</th>
<th>Prior presence of EOD** expertise on-site?</th>
<th>Relocation of displaced people</th>
<th>UXO removal</th>
<th>Details (e.g. quantity or weight in tonnes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ yes □ no □ n/a</td>
<td>□ yes □ no □ unknown</td>
<td>□ yes □ no □ n/a</td>
<td>□ yes □ no □ n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Evacuated people**
  - □ yes □ no □ n/a
  - If yes, how many?

- **Comments** (e.g. names of actors assisting, including local, national, or international)

**Reporting person, contact details**

- Name
- Institution
- Mailing address
- Phone
- Email

---

* n/a = not applicable  ** Explosive ordnance disposal
The IRT has been designed to standardize and encourage the collating of information on such events. Its standardized format should alleviate some of the concerns that states have and should sensitize reporters to additional features of interest pertaining to UEMS incidents.

The template enables non-specialists to report more thoroughly. As an added benefit, the standardized template enables authorities to submit comprehensive summaries of an incident, without necessarily releasing related investigative reports in their entirety.

**Observations**

The effects of unplanned explosions are numerous and often long-lasting. The media tends to focus on the immediate direct effects of such an incident, namely casualties incurred from the initial explosion. This focus on casualties is both understandable and a valuable indicator of UEMS’ costs and why it is important to work toward preventing them. Only if we look at their longer-term socio-economic and politico-military effects, however, is it possible to understand the true costs of UEMS and why countering them should be prioritized on national, regional, and international agendas.

To this end, the UEMS IRT is designed to help generate better data capturing and record keeping.

**Notes**

1. Research Note 6, ‘Unplanned Explosions at Munitions Sites,’’ which is available in seven languages, provides a synopsis.

**Sources**

This Research Note is based on the forthcoming Small Arms Survey Handbook series volume Unplanned Explosions at Munitions Sites (UEMS): Excess Stockpiles as Liabilities rather than Assets, edited by Eric G. Berman and Pilar Reina.
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